ORIGINAL ARTICLE

OPEN ACCESS

Impact of Foreign Direct Investment on Economic Growth of Ten Countries

Wajiha Akhtar[1]

[1] M.Phil Scholar, School of Economics, Quaid-Azam University, Islamabad

Correspondence
Wajiha Akhtar
(wahihaakhtar@gmail.com)

Submitted: March 10, 2020
Revised: June 21, 2020
Accepted: June 17, 2020
Published online: June 30, 2020

ABSTRACT

Foreign direct investment has been one of the significant elements of the world economies throughout recent many years. This study looks at the effect of unfamiliar direct ventures on monetary development in 10 distinct nations. The date period varies from 1990 to 2020. Various tests were applied to see which technique for it is appropriate to assess the relapse. For utilizing the best impact among arbitrary and fixed Hausman tests was applied, and the outcomes upheld the utilization of the Fixed Effect model. Tests are applied to eliminate the issue of autocorrelation, heteroscedasticity, and multicollinearity According to our investigation houseman test supports the fixed impact model powerful standard mistake is utilized to eliminate heteroscedasticity

Keywords: FDI, GDP, Population Growth, GCF, GNI

1 | INTRODUCTION

A new immediate hypothesis (FDI) is the procurement of a premium in an association by an association or a monetary patron arranged outside its limits generally, the term is used to depict a business decision to get a critical stake in a new business or to inspire it endlessly out to stretch out its exercises to another area. Depicting corporate security in a new organization isn't ordinarily used. New Direct Investment (FDI) has emerged as the primary wellspring of outside resource streams to non-modern countries all through the long haul and has transformed into a basic piece of capital advancement in these countries, no matter what their part in the overall transport of FDI continuing to remain pretty much nothing or regardless, declining. The occupation of the new immediate hypothesis (FDI) has been for the most part seen as an improvement overhauling factor in the arising countries effects of FDI in the host economy are usually acknowledged to be; extension in the business, extend in the helpfulness, support in conveys and upgraded speed of movement of advancement. The possible benefits of the FDI on the host economy are; that it works with the use and double-dealing of neighborhood natural substances, presents current strategies of the board and advertising, facilitates the admittance to new advances, and unfamiliar inflows can be utilized for funding current record shortages, finance streams in the type of FDI don't produce reimbursement of head and interests (rather than outer obligation) and expand the supply of human resources using hands-on preparing.

Unfamiliar direct theories (FDI) are huge endeavors made by an association into a new concern. The endeavor could incorporate getting a wellspring of materials, expanding an association's impression, or encouraging a worldwide presence. China's economy has been invigorated by a surge of FDI zeroing in on the country's creative gathering and organizations. In

the meantime, relaxed FDI rules in India as of now grant 100%. Authoritative decision purportedly works with Apple's yearning to open a genuine store in the Indian market. Up to this point, the affiliation's iPhones facilitated just been available through third-social affair physical and online retailers.

Probably the biggest illustration of Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) in this present reality is the Chinese drive known as One Belt One Road (OBOR). This program, at times alluded to as the Belt and Road drive, includes a responsibility by China to significant FDI in the scope of foundation programs all through Africa, Asia, and even pieces of Europe. The program is commonly supported by Chinese state-possessed undertakings and associations with profound connections to the Chinese government. Comparable projects are embraced by different countries and global bodies, including Japan, the United States, and the European Union. FDI can encourage and keep up with monetary development, both in the beneficiary nation and in the nation making the speculation. Emerging nations have energized FDI to fund the development of the new framework and the production of occupations for their nearby laborers. Then again, worldwide organizations benefit from FDI for growing their impressions into global business sectors. An impediment of FDI, in any case, is that it includes the guideline and oversight of numerous states, prompting a more significant level of a political gamble.

The worldwide economy has changed as of late. It works in a climate progressively Entangled as streamlined commerce, free m development of capital and products become trademarks, where FDI is progressively qualified as a better approach to back financial development. To build their venture ability to decidedly influence the equilibrium of installments, compensate for the deficiency in public reserve funds, and set out new open doors for better positions with better compensation and better circumstances work, a few nations are attempting to make IDE perhaps the most remarkable in the financial improvement procedure support point. These nations have a critical creation potential, they have everything for the successful removal from their economy. These states have zeroed in their activities on the monetary and social recuperation considering FDI as a side-effect of financial turn of events, which makes sense of the incredible significance connected to the allure of unfamiliar venture streams, by executing a progression of measures to make these nations more appealing. Past evaluating the allure of various districts as far as FDI, the general purpose of this study lies in the investigation of the causal connection between unfamiliar direct speculation and their genuine effect on monetary development nations. A significant issue is, is there a drawn-out connection between direct unfamiliar speculation and financial development?

OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY

To study the effect of FDI on the monetary development of Pakistan, China, Malaysia, Bhutan, Qatar, Indonesia, Sweden, Turkey, Bangladesh, and India.

3 | LITERATURE REVIEW

The motivations behind the writing survey are to place together unique Scholar's analysts on a specific issue to assess their methodologies and to feature the fundamental viewing as pertinent to the exploration concentrates on which been finished by scientists and writers before.

The current writing weights on the connection between FDI and the financial climate of the host

nation and brings up that the monetary climate is affected by the improvement procedures and strategies followed by the host country. Moreover, a few investigations reason that the causal connection between FDI and financial development relies on the attributes of the host nations, for example, human resources, market size, and accessibility of innovation.

Balasubramanyam et al. (1996) involve cross-sectional information for 46 nations for the period 1970-85 for examining the connection between FDI and monetary development. Their outcomes show that FDI decidedly affects the monetary development of those nations which have followed internal-looking advancement systems.

Johnson (2006) utilizing board and cross-area information from 90 nations presume that FDI increments financial development in non-industrial nations because of innovation overflows however not in created economies. The concentrate additionally looks at the effect of FDI on financial development in essential assembling and administration areas. Alfaro's (2003) is of assessment that the advantages of FDI fluctuate across areas and the effect of FDI on the essential areas is negative and its effect is positive and vague on assembling and administration areas separately.

Charkovic and Levine (1998) utilize both board and cross-segment information for 72 creating and created nations for the period 1960-95 for examining the connection between FDI and financial development. They utilize OLS and GMM strategies for assessment and neglect to track down the presence of the connection between FDI and monetary development.

Azman-Saini et al. (2010). In this article, they inspect the foundational connection between monetary opportunity, unfamiliar direct venture (FDI), and financial development in a board of 85 nations. Their experimental outcomes, given the summed-up technique for time-framework assessor, show that FDI without help from anyone else makes no immediate difference (positive) sway yield development. All things considered, the impact of FDI relies upon the degree of monetary opportunity in the host country. This means bunching the nations Promote Greater opportunity for financial exercises essentially gain from the presence of worldwide companies (MNCs).

Basu and Guariglia (2007). This paper inspects the communications between unfamiliar direct investment (FDI), imbalance, and development, the creators utilize a board of 119 non-industrial nations, they see that FDI advances both in uniformity and development, and will in general decrease the portion of agribusiness in GDP of the beneficiary country. They then set up a development model of a double economy in which the customary (horticultural) area utilizes an unavoidable losses innovation, while FDI is the driving force of development in the advanced area (modern). The fundamental forecasts of the modeler are steady with the adapted realities seen in the information.

Adams (2009). This study investigates the effect of the unfamiliar direct venture (FDI) and homegrown speculation (DI) on financial development in sub-Saharan Africa for the period 1990-to 2003. The outcomes show that DI is positive and fundamentally connected with monetary development in both the OLS and fixed impacts assessment, yet FDI is positive and huge just in the OLS assessment. The investigation additionally discovered that FDI adversely affects the underlying positive.

Bengoa and Sanchez-Robles (2003). This paper explores the relationship between money related to an open door, a new direct hypothesis (FDI), and monetary turn of events, the makers of this paper include the assessment of board data for an illustration of 18 Latin American countries from 1970 - to 1999. Their results show that financial open door in the host country is a positive determinant of FDI streams. Their results moreover suggest that FDI is unequivocally related to monetary improvement in have countries. The host country, nevertheless, requires the palatable HR, financial security, and the headway of capital streams to benefit from the long stretch.

The huge collection of compositions shows a blend of results about FDI and money-related advancement. Antwi et al. (2013) analyzed a negative association between FDI and GDP, shown by a co-blend test in Ghana from 1980-to 2010. Atique et al. (2004) derived that FDI essentially impacts monetary improvement in the return framework for exchange progression rather than import trade for Pakistan from 1970 to 2001.

3 | METHODOLOGY & DESIGN

MODEL OF THE STUDY

$$\mathrm{GDP_t} = \beta° + \beta 1FDI + \beta 3GCF + \beta 4TRADE + \beta 5GNI + \beta 6LF + \beta 7POP$$

DATA AND METHODOLOGY

The technique is the efficient, hypothetical examination of the strategies applied to a field of study. This section of the study provides materials and methodology section which we use for different methods to determine our research problem. In the data section that how to collect correct and regional data relating to the problem under research. The data and methodology chapter also include research techniques for the estimation of the data. Different methods of data collection that how to collect data from different sources, and define different econometrics techniques for the data analysis. In this section, we define the variables that we take in the research.

VARIABLES	LEVEL	LLC	IPS	ADF	PP
GDP	LEVEL	0.34	-3.58***	50.51***	78.8287***
	1ST diff	-5.258**	-11.31**	149.52**	251.843***
FDI	LEVEL	-4.039**	-3.569**	50.17**	77.3917**
	1ST diff	-8.27***	-9.33**	118.1**	259.510**
GCF	LEVEL	0.3652	-3.25***	16.25***	31.255**
	1st diff	-4.128*	-9.33***	0.635***	18.365**
GNIPC	LEVEL	-0.21	-3.022**	46.30***	62.4388*
	1st diff	-3.76***	-8.956**	124.0**	430.863**
MTRADE	LEVEL	-1.128*	-0.537*	19.979**	19.9468
	1 diff	-6.781**	-9.535*	122.1**	202.389*
LF	LEVEL	-41.5***	60.2***	1.032***	1038.10
	1st diff	-54.19	888.8**	1245.**	216.94
POPG	LEVEL	-2.004*	-2.849*	57.46***	12.6447*
	1st diff	-13.55**	-13.75**	163.8**	31.1558
Probabilities* p<0.1,** p<0.05,***,p<0.01”					

DATA AND SOURCES

Taken the panel data from 1980 to 2020 and collected from the world development indicator (WDI). The dependent variable is GDP in terms of %and the independent variable is merchandise trade

% population growth, foreign direct investment inflows %, Labor force growth, GNI GFCF.

4 | RESULTS

TABLE: 3 POOLED OLS				
`	COEF.	STD.ERR.	T	P>T
GNIPC	0.002059	0.146486	0.14	0.889
GCF	-0.003152	0.0008662	-3.64	0.000
LF	-1.60e-10	4.7e-11	-3035	0.0001
TRADE	-0.0002389	0.0040365	-0.96	0.340
POP	1.101684	0.0040365	272.93	0.000
FDI	0.011565	0.0071422	1.62	0.002
R SQUARED=0.9989 Adjusted Rsquared=0.9989 F(7,191)=25022.57 ROOT MSE=0.12586 Prob>F=0.000				

The straightforward OLS relapse on pooled information, this strategy is called Pooled Least Square (PLS n pooled OLS you expect that all individuals from the board comply with precisely the same relapse model same coefficients, and same steady. pooled information we consolidate the time-series information and cross-sectional information implies that we have numerous substances at a various time focuses and we center around a few explicit factors the board information is an extraordinary instance of a pool in which the elements are fixed) so our center is likewise in the elements not just in the factors.

TABLE 3: FIXED EFFECT				
GDP	Coef.	Std.Err	z	p>t
GNIPC	-0.0076	0.0147	-0.52	0.606
GCF	-0.00531	0.00174	-3.04	0.003
LF	3.77e-10	3.83	0.99	0.427
FDI	0.000378	0.0088	-0.04	0.006
TRADE	0.006	0.00082	-0.80	0.427

4 | Conclusion & Discussion

The results of our assessment show that FDI conveys work on the advancement of Asian countries and that work and capital help with that cycle. This recommends that 10 countries that are pushing forward for globalization could choose to continue. In any case, when we investigated the instance of nonlinearity related distinctly to FDI, we track down that this variable improves development. Then again, the examination of the nonlinearity in the two cases, i.e., trades and FDI, shows a huge and positive effect of products just on the financial development of board nations.

This recommends that to accomplish an increasingly high development way, pushing forward with trades is more attainable in 10 nations. There has been a long discussion among strategy producers and financial experts at the public and worldwide levels about whether FDI improves development in the host nations. Further, we additionally examined whether reliance on send-out drove development or FDI lead-development is best and what is the proof of nonlinearities related to FDI and trades in monetary development Looking at the development impact of FDI in these nations, FDI is found to have critical at 5% level implies that the impact of FDI on development rate is normal. Different factors, for example, unfamiliar guide, workforce, and gross homegrown capital arrangement additionally show their importance at their separate level.

Gross homegrown investment funds and human improvement list are is by all accounts less significant in these nations. FDI appears to have a somewhat high critical level with an unfamiliar guide, going under the way that these two factors could be integral in these nations. Convincingly, our first examination which researches the determinants of the FDI stream, utilizing a gravity model, investigates that the variables are urgently significant in deciding FDI in South Asian nations. Examination of the impact of FDI on development rate, utilizing a development

model, uncovers that FDI moderately consequences for development rates in these nations.

REFERENCES

1. Abdouli, M., & Hammami, S. (2017). The impact of FDI inflows and environmental quality on economic growth: an empirical study for the MENA countries. Journal of the Knowledge Economy, 8(1), 254-278.
2. Ahmad, F., Draz, M. U., & Yang, S. C. (2018). Causality nexus of exports, FDI and economic growth of the ASEAN5 economies: evidence from panel data analysis. The Journal of International Trade & Economic Development, 27(6), 685-700.
3. Almfraji, M. A., Almsafir, M. K., & Yao, L. (2014). Economic growth and foreign direct investment inflows: The case of Qatar. Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences, 109, 1040-1045.
4. Asafu-Adjaye, J. (2000). The effects of foreign direct investment on Indonesian economic growth, 1970-1996. Economic analysis and policy, 30, 49-62.
5. Bagli, S., & Adhikary, M. (2014). FDI inflow and economic growth in India an empirical analysis. Economic Affairs, 59(1), 23-33.
6. Chandrachud, S., & Gajalakshmi, N. (2013). The economic impact of FDI in India. International Journal of Humanities and Social Science Invention, 2(2), 47-52.
7. dan Malaysia, P. E. D. I. (2019). The Impact of foreign direct investment, labor force, and external debt on economic growth in Indonesia and Malaysia. Jurnal Ekonomi Malaysia, 53(1), 171-185.
8. Devajit, M. (2012). Impact of foreign direct investment on the Indian economy. Research Journal of Management SciencesISSN, 2319, 1171.
9. Dhume, P. (2020). Effect of FDI on the economic growth of least developing countries-evidence from Bhutan.
10. Dkhili, H., & Dhiab, L. B. (2018). The relationship between economic freedom and FDI versus economic growth: Evidence from the GCC countries. Journal of Risk and Financial Management, 11(4), 81.
11. Dritsakis, N., & Stamatiou, P. (2014). Exports, foreign direct investment, and economic growth for five European countries: Granger causality tests in panel data. Applied Economics Quarterly, 60(4), 253-272.
12. Effendi, N., & Soemantri, F. M. (2003, July). Foreign direct investment and regional economic growth in Indonesia: A panel data study. In The 6TH IRSA INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE, Regional Development in The Era of Decentralization: Growth, Poverty, and Environment, Bandung.
13. Erum, N., Hussain, S., & Yousaf, A. (2016). Foreign direct investment and economic growth in Saarc countries. The Journal of Asian Finance, Economics, and Business, 3(4), 57-66.
14. Fadhil, M. A., Yao, L., & Ismeal, W. (2012). Causality relation between FDI inflows and economic growth in Qatar. International Journal of Business and Management, 7(14), 40.
15. Falki, N. (2009). Impact of foreign direct investment on economic growth in Pakistan. International Review of Business Research Papers, 5(5), 110-120.
16. Fifeková, E., & Nemcová, E. (2015). Impact of FDI on economic growth: evidence from V4 countries. Periodica Polytechnica Social and Management Sciences, 23(1), 7-14.
17. Habibi, F., & Sharif Karimi, M. (2017). Foreign direct investment and economic growth: evidence from Iran and GCC. Iranian Economic Review, 21(3), 601-620.
18. Khaliq, A., & Noy, I. (2007). Foreign direct investment and economic growth: Empirical evidence from sectoral data in Indonesia. Journal of Economic Literature, 45(1), 313-325.
19. Kumar, V. (2014). The trend of FDI in India and its impact on economic growth. International Journal of Science and Research, 3(10), 639-642.
20. Lee, H. H., & Tan, H. B. (2006). Technology transfer, FDI, and economic growth in the ASEAN region. Journal of the Asia Pacific Economy, 11(4), 394-410.
21. Mehic, E., Silajdzic, S., & Babic-Hodovic, V. (2013). The impact of FDI on economic growth: Some evidence from Southeast Europe. Emerging Markets Finance and Trade, 49(sup1), 5-20.
22. Ray, S. (2012). Impact of foreign direct investment on economic growth in India: A co-integration analysis. Advances in Information Technology and Management, 2(1), 187-201.
23. Rehman, N. U. (2016). FDI and economic growth: empirical evidence from Pakistan. Journal of Economic and Administrative Sciences.
24. Riaz, N., & Riaz, S. (2018). Investment and economic growth: A panel data analysis. Asian Development Policy Review, 6(1), 20-31.
25. Srinivasan, P., Kalaivani, M., & Ibrahim, P. (2011). An empirical investigation of foreign direct investment and economic growth in SAARC nations. Journal of Asia Business Studies.
26. Tiwari, A. K., & Mutascu, M. (2011). Economic growth and FDI in Asia: A panel-data approach. Economic analysis and policy, 41(2), 173-187.
27. Varamini, H., & Kalash, S. (2010). Foreign direct investment inflows, economic growth, and trade balances: The experience of the new members of the European Union. Journal of East-West Business, 16(1), 4-23.
28. Wahyudi, S. T. (2009). The Impact of Foreign Direct Investment on economic growth in Indonesia, 1980-2004: A Causality Approach. Journal of Indonesian Economy and Business (JIEB), 24(3), 311-327.
29. Zekarias, S. M. (2016). The impact of foreign direct investment (FDI) on economic growth in Eastern Africa: Evidence from panel data analysis. Applied Economics and Finance, 3(1), 145-160